IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Jose Alguera et al.

Examiner:

Daniel G. DePumpo

Serial No:

10/655,320

Group Art Unit:

3611

Filed:

09/04/03

Date:

September

2004

28,

For:

ARRANGEMENT OF A MOTOR ON A SUPPORT WINCH

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Sir,

The undersigned hereby certifies that the attached RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT was mailed to the Commissioner of Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313, with sufficient first-class postage, no special handling, on September 28, 2004 before 5:00 PM, thereby ensuring that such document(s) will be in the hands of the U.S. Postal Service by the close of business this day. Thus, timely response has been made to the outstanding Office action prior to expiration of the shortened statutory period for the same ending 10/14/04.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which might be required or credit any overpayment of fees with regard to the attached document(s) to Account No. **08-3150**.

Respectfully submitted,

HUDAK, SHUNK & FARING CO. LPA

∕Daniel J. Hudak, Jr.

Registration No: 47,669

DJHjr/dp

2020 Front Street, Suite 307 Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221

(330) 535-2220

Atty Docket: FMW-BI

Enclosures: Return Postcard

Certificate of Mailing

Response to Restriction Requirement

IPU

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Jose Alguera et al.

Examiner:

Daniel G. DePumpo

Serial No:

10/655,320

Group Art Unit:

3611

Filed:

09/04/03

Date:

September 28, 2004

For:

ARRANGEMENT OF A MOTOR ON A SUPPORT WINCH

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir,

In response to the Restriction Requirement of September 14, 2004, Applicant responds as follows:

Claims 1-28 are pending in the Office Action and are subject to a Restriction Requirement. The Examiner states that the application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I	Fig. 1
Group II	Fig. 2
Group III	Figs. 3 & 4
Group IV	Figs. 5
Group V	Figs. 6
Group VI	Figs. 7

The Examiner has required the applicants to elect a single disclosed species under 35 U.S.C. §121 for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is found to be allowable.

In accordance with the Restriction Requirement, Applicant hereby elects Group I for prosecution on the merits relating to the embodiment illustrated in Figure 1.

Currently, Claim 1, 2 and 24-28 are generic as they disclose various features which are generic and are readable on all figures of the invention.

Also in accordance with the Restriction Requirement, it is believed that Claims 3-8 and 14 are readable upon elected Figure 1.

Should the Examiner have any questions or concerns regarding this response, a telephone call to the other side is greatly appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,

HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE CO. LPA

Daniel J. Hudak, Jr. Registration No. 47,669

DJHjr/dp 2020 Front Street, Suite 307 Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221 (330) 535-2220

Atty. Docket: FMW-BI